## **Carbene transposition involving double dehydrogenation of an sp3 carbon†**

## **Joseph N. Coalter III, John C. Huffman and Kenneth G. Caulton\***

*Department of Chemistry and Molecular Structure Center, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7102, USA. E-mail: caulton@indiana.edu*

*Received (in Cambridge, UK) 14th March 2001, Accepted 3rd May 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 7th June 2001*

*Two* benzylic hydrogens of  $2.6$ -Me<sub>2</sub> $C_6H_3O$ <sup>-</sup> coordinated to **RuCl(PCy3)2(CHPh)+ are transferred to the benzylidene ligand, liberating toluene to form a new carbene which is covalently linked to the aryloxide ligand.**

Replacement of the chloride ligand in the olefin metathesis catalyst RuCl<sub>2</sub>(CHR)LL'(L, L' = tertiary phosphines or other neutral donors) by other pseudohalides has been actively studied, but no clear trends or dramatic improvements in reactivity have yet to emerge.<sup>1</sup> Two groups<sup>2,3</sup> have recently reported replacement of both chlorides by alkoxides on the benzylidene complex, with the result [eqn. (1)] that some

CHPh

\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n & CHPh & CHPh \\
\parallel \, \mathcal{N} & \parallel \, \mathcal{N} \\
\text{Cl}-\text{Ru}-\text{Cl} &+2\,\text{MOR} & \longrightarrow & \text{RO}-\text{Ru}-\text{OR} & + L + 2\,\text{MCl} \\
& & & & & \\
\downarrow & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
$$
\nCHPh

\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n & CHPh & & & & \\
\parallel \, \mathcal{N} & & \parallel & & \\
\downarrow & & & & & \\
\end{array}
$$
\nCHPh

\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \parallel \, \mathcal{N} & & \\
\downarrow & & & & \\
\downarrow & & & & \\
\end{array}
$$
\nCHPh

 $M = Li$ , Na, K  $OR = OBu^t$ , OAdamantyl

combination of steric and electronic  $(e.g. \pi\text{-donor})$  effects causes loss of one  $PCy_3$  to give a four-coordinate species with an apparent 14-valence electron count. This species is attractive because it has an empty orbital *cis* to the carbene ligand, a feature lacking in isolable  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(CHR)L<sub>2</sub>$ . Fluoroalkoxide analogs have also been synthesized,2 but phenoxides behave very differently3 [eqn. (2)], proceeding further to a benzylidyne

 $RuCl_{2}(CHPh)L_{2} + 2 PhO^{-} \xrightarrow{-2Cl^{-}} Ru(OPh)_{2}(CHPh)L_{2}$ -РЬОН Г (2) PhO-RuECPh

product by liberation of phenol. We now report the wholly distinct consequence of increasing the steric bulk of the phenoxide

Reaction of  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(CHPh)(PCy<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  with  $NaOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>$ 2,6·THF (NaOAr·THF: 1 equiv) in THF proceeds rapidly to a benzylidene complex with only one coordinated phosphine and *one* coordinated aryloxide (a second equivalent of NaOAr·THF does not replace a second  $Cl^-$ ) [A, eqn. (3)]. This product is



† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: synthetic and spectroscopic data. Crystallographic data and selected bond lengths and angle for **B**. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b1/b102422c/

proven to have only one phosphine by the carbene proton doublet structure (16.8 ppm), as well as by the observation of free phosphine (by 31P NMR). This product then evolves more slowly by transfer of two hydrogens from one *ortho*-methyl group of the aryloxide to the benzylidene carbon [**B**, eqn. (3)]. After purification on an alumina column, the product **B** clearly shows the following 1H NMR signals: *one* aryl methyl signal, of intensity three, only *three* aryl ring chemical shifts, each of unit intensity, and a Ru=CHR singlet at 16.4 ppm (unit intensity). Curiously, this carbene proton signal of **B** shows no resolved multiplet structure, although unresolved broadening is evident. The formation of toluene is also observed, as is the *disappearance* of free PCy<sub>3</sub>, in the conversion of **A** to **B**. The <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR supports this assignment, showing six aromatic signals, one Ar $C\overline{H_3}$  signal (16.1 ppm), diastereotopic  $C\overline{H_2}$  ring carbons for the  $PCy_3$  ligands, and a broad signal at 277.7 ppm for  $Ru=C$ .

A crystal structure determination of **B** (Fig. 1)‡ confirms this remarkable transformation. The coordination geometry at Ru is the traditional square-pyramidal, but one anionic ligand is now



(distances in Å, angles in degrees), this unusual carbene/ aryloxide ligand forms a planar five-membered chelate ring without substantial angular distortion at the carbene carbon. The



**Fig. 1** ORTEP drawing of the nonhydrogen atoms of **B**. Selected distances (Å): Ru–C(10) 1.872(7), Ru–O(3) 2.082(4), Ru–Cl(2) 2.3910(17), Ru– P(12) 2.3947(19), Ru–P(31) 2.4005(19).

five-membered ring interior angles sum to 539.9° (540° if planar), and the angles that suffer the greatest compression are that at aryloxide oxygen (111.8° is small for M–O–Aryl) and at Ru (compare C=Ru–O of  $80.9^{\circ}$  to C=Ru–Cl of 107.8°). There are no agostic interactions between the cyclohexyl hydrogens and the open coordination site *trans*to the carbene (shortest Ru– C 3.3 Å).

The accumulated empirical evidence<sup>1</sup> shows that the magnitude of the  $3J_{\text{PH}}$  value is dependent on the dihedral angle P– Ru=C–H, and thus the orientation of the carbene plane with respect to the P–Ru line can be estimated. In intermediate **A**,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}}$  is large enough to be readily resolved (6 Hz), consistent with calculation and experiment, which give a dihedral angle of *ca.* 0°. In **B**, where no  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}}$  is resolved, the carbene hydrogen has a dihedral angle of 90°, consistent with earlier reports that  $3J_{\rm PH}$  in this conformation is near zero.<sup>1</sup>

Numerous recent developments in olefin metathesis chemistry have been directed to appending a good leaving group to reduce the L:Ru ratio in the molecule to 1:1, or to attach the molecule to a polymer to facilitate separation of catalyst from product.4 What the present report offers is the potential for more permanent (*i.e.* anion-tethered) attachment of the *initial* carbene to the molecule, which contrasts to attachment *via* a pendant neutral donor (olefin<sup>5</sup> or ether<sup>6</sup>).

The *mechanism* of the double hydrogen transfer that converts a methyl to a carbene in eqn. (3) is not yet established. However, the precedent<sup>7</sup> that 14-electron Ru<sup>II</sup> recruits agostic interactions from sterically accessible C–H hydrogens makes **D** a likely starting point on this transformation. The driving *force* for this reaction appears to be steric in origin, since only *one* ArO<sup>-</sup> replaces chloride in the initial step of eqn. (3) and since the sterically expelled phosphine recoordinates when the bulky aryloxide and the benzylidene ligands are combined compactly into a single ligand incorporating both  $RO^-$  and carbene functionalities. Toluene elimination also provides an entropic assist worth *ca*. 8 kcal mol<sup> $-1$ </sup> at 300 K.<sup>8</sup> The different behavior shown by tertiary alkoxides or phenoxide and by 2,6-dimethylphenoxide is certainly due to the reactivity of benzylic hydrogens.

This work was supported by the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society.

## **Notes and references**

 $\frac{1}{2}$  *Crystal data* for  $C_{47}H_{80}CIOP_2Ru$  **B**:  $M = 859.62$ , triclinic, space group *P* $\overline{1}$ , brown/green crystals, *a* = 12.5352(16), *b* = 13.7485(18), *c* = 14.4562(20) Å,  $\alpha$  = 73.591(4),  $\beta$  = 69.803(4),  $\gamma$  = 78.316(4)°, *V* = 2227.6(8) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2$ ,  $T = -161$  °C,  $D_C = 1.282$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 5.17$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $F(000) = 922$ . The final conventional *R* factor was 0.0503 for 6249 data and 465 parameters,  $R_w(F) = 0.0440$  and GOF = 1.21. CCDC 161342. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b1/b102422c/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- 1 T. M. Trnka and R. H. Grubbs, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **34**, 18.
- 2 M. S. Sanford, L. M. Heling, M. W. Day and R. H. Grubbs, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 3451.
- 3 J. N. Coalter, III, J. C. Bollinger, O. Eisenstein and K. G. Caulton, *New J. Chem.*, 2000, **24**, 925.
- 4 Q. Yao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 3896.
- 5 J. A. Tallarico and P. J. Bonitatebus and M. L. Snapper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 7157.
- 6 J. S. Kingsbury, J. P. A. Harrity, P. J. Bonitatebus and A. H. Hoveyda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 791.
- 7 D. Huang, K. Folting and K. G. Caulton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 10 318.
- 8 M. E. Minas da Piedade and J. A. M. Simoes, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1996, **518**, 167.